

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 9025 of 1993

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.S.JHAVERI

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned : NO
Magistrate/Magistrates, Judge/Judges, Tribunal/Tribunals?

R M PARMAR

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT & ORS

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 9025 of 1993
MRS SANGEETA N PAHWA for Petitioner No. 1
MR PRADIP BHATE A.G.P. for Respondent No. 1-2

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.S.JHAVERI

Date of decision: 21/07/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1.0. The petitioner by way of this petition has
challenged the action of the respondents in denying the

promotion to the post of Principal.

2.0. The case of the petitioner is that initially he was working as Instructor in Social Welfare Department and thereafter under direct selection, he was appointed as Assistant Apprenticeship Advisor in 1983. It is the case of the petitioner that in view of the adverse remarks of 1986-87, he was denied the promotion to the post of Principal. Hence, he has approached this Court by way of this petition.

3.0. I have heard Ms. Sangeeta Pahwa learned advocate for the petitioner and Mr. Bhate learned A.G.P. for the respondents.

3.1. Today, Mr. Bhate has produced on record communication dated 13th July, 2004, received from the office of Employment and Training, Gandhinagar. Thus, in view of the communication dated 13th July, 2004, issued by Deputy Director, Employment and Training, Gandhinagar, the grievance of the petitioner is resolved inasmuch as the State Government vide order dated 14th September, 1995, has granted promotion to the petitioner to the post of Principal and he is also granted benefit of deemed date vide order dated 30th October, 1996 and, therefore, no further orders are required to be passed in this petition.

3.2. It is directed that the petitioner will be granted all consequential benefits, if it is not granted so far, the same shall be paid on or before 31st December, 2004, failing which, he shall be entitled for interest on amount of arrears, pursuant to the orders passed by the respondents.

3.2. With the above direction, the petition is disposed of. Rule is discharged with no order as to costs.

[K.S. JHAVERI, J.]

/phalguni/